Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)

International application No. PCT/JP03/15742

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl <sup>7</sup> H01S3/105					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
Minimum o Int	documentation searched (classification system followers). C1 <sup>7</sup> H01S3/00-5/50	d by classification symbols)			
0102	ation searched other than minimum documentation to to Suyo Shinan Koho 1922—1996 i Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971—2004	) Toroku Jitsuvo Shinan Koh	0 1994–2004		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) WPI (DIALOG), INSPEC (DIALOG), JOIS (JICST FILE)					
C. DOCU	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Χ.	JP 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd. 20 December, 1990 (20.12.90) Full text; Figs. 1 to 10 (Family: none)	), ,	1,2,7		
х	JP 5-283785 A (Komatsu Ltd. 29 October, 1993 (29.10.93), Full text; Figs. 1 to 11 (Family: none)	),	1,2,7		
x	JP 6-104520 A (Komatsu Ltd. 15 April, 1994 (15.04.94), Par. Nos. [0013] to [0015]; (Family: none)	j	1,2,7		
× Furthe	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.			
* Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or document defining the general state of the art which is not					
consider 'E" earlier d	considered to be of particular relevance				
'L" docume	date considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone				
special r	special reason (as specified)  "Y"  document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the claimed invention considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance in the considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance in the considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance in the considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance in the considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance in the considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance in the considered to involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance in the considered to involve an inventive step when the considered to inventive step when th				
means P" document than the	combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art the priority date claimed  combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family		killed in the art		
Oate of the actual completion of the international search 08 March, 2004 (08.03.04)		Date of mailing of the international search 23 March, 2004 (23.0	report 03.04)		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office		Authorized officer			
acsimile No.		Telephone No	Ì		

International application No. PCT/JP03/15742

C (Continua	tion). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	JP 11-214803 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 06 August, 1999 (06.08.99), Par. No. [0041]; Fig. 3 (Family: none)	1,2,7
х	WO 01/18922 A1 (CYMER, INC.), 15 March, 2001 (15.03.01), Full text; Figs. 1, 2, 6, 9 to 11 & JP 2001-168440 A	1,2,7
Х	WO 01/59889 A1 (CYMER, INC.), 16 August, 2001 (16.08.01), Full text; Figs. 10, 12 to 14 & JP 2001-267673 A	1,2,7
х	JP 2001-332793 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 30 November, 2001 (30.11.01), Par. No. [0021]; Fig. 1 (Family: none)	1,2,7





International application No. PCT/JP03/15742

1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Although claims 1-13 have a common matter that "laser device comprising laser resonator including an adaptive optics, a laser medium disposed in the laser medium", our search has found the common matter is disclosed it either one of the following documents and therefore is not clearly novel Document 1: JP 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 20 December, 1990 (20.12.90), Fultext, Figs. 1 to 10 (family none).  (Continued to extra sheet)  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only shose claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7	Box 1 Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  2. Claims Nos.:  because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  3. Claims Nos.:  because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  Although claims 1-13 have a common matter that "laser device comprising laser resonator including an adaptive optics, a laser medium disposed in the laser resonator, and a dispersion element for receiving an output light from the laser medium, our search has found the common matter is disclosed it either one of the following documents and therefore is not clearly novel Document 1: JP 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 20 December, 1990 (20.12.90), Full text; Figs. 1 to 10 (family none).  (Continued to extra sheet)  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  2. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7	This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
2. Claims Nos.:  because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  3. Claims Nos.:  because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  Although claims 1-13 have a common matter that "laser device comprising laser resonator including an adaptive optics, a laser medium disposed in the laser resonator, and a dispersion element for receiving an output light from the laser medium", our search has found the common matter is disclosed i either one of the following documents and therefore is not clearly novel Document 1: JP 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 20 December, 1990 (20.12.90), Full text; Figs. 1 to 10 (family none).  (Continued to extra sheet)  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all scarchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7	
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:  3.	because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Although claims 1-13 have a common matter that "laser device comprising laser resonator including an adaptive optics, a laser medium disposed in the laser medium", our search has found the common matter is disclosed it either one of the following documents and therefore is not clearly novel Document 1: JP 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 20 December, 1990(20.12.90), Ful. text; Figs. 1 to 10 (family none).  (Continued to extra sheet)  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7	c. Claims Nos.:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Although claims 1-13 have a common matter that "laser device comprising laser resonator including an adaptive optics, a laser medium disposed in th laser resonator, and a dispersion element for receiving an output light from the laser medium", our search has found the common matter is disclosed i either one of the following documents and therefore is not clearly novel Document 1: JP 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 20 December, 1990(20.12.90), Ful text; Figs. 1 to 10 (family none).  (Continued to extra sheet)  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).  Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  Although claims 1–13 have a common matter that "laser device comprising laser resonator including an adaptive optics, a laser medium disposed in the laser resonator, and a dispersion element for receiving an output light from the laser medium", our search has found the common matter is disclosed it either one of the following documents and therefore is not clearly novel Document 1: JP 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 20 December, 1990(20.12.90), Full text; Figs. 1 to 10 (family none).  (Continued to extra sheet)  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7	
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)  This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:     Although claims 1-13 have a common matter that "laser device comprising laser resonator including an adaptive optics, a laser medium disposed in th laser resonator, and a dispersion element for receiving an output light from the laser medium", our search has found the common matter is disclosed in either one of the following documents and therefore is not clearly novel Document 1: JP 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 20 December, 1990 (20.12.90), Full text; Figs. 1 to 10 (family none).  (Continued to extra sheet)  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7  Remark on Protest    The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:     Although claims 1-13 have a common matter that "laser device comprising laser resonator including an adaptive optics, a laser medium disposed in the laser resonator, and a dispersion element for receiving an output light from the laser medium", our search has found the common matter is disclosed it either one of the following documents and therefore is not clearly novel Document 1: JF 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 20 December, 1990 (20.12.90), Full text; Figs. 1 to 10 (family none).  (Continued to extra sheet)  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Although Claims 1-13 have a common matter that "laser device comprising laser resonator including an adaptive optics, a laser medium disposed in the laser resonator, and a dispersion element for receiving an output light from the laser medium", our search has found the common matter is disclosed it either one of the following documents and therefore is not clearly novel Document 1: JP 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 20 December, 1990(20.12.90), Full text; Figs. 1 to 10 (family none).  (Continued to extra sheet)  1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.  2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7  Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7  Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Although Claims 1-13 have a common matter that "laser device comprising a laser resonator including an adaptive optics, a laser medium disposed in the laser resonator, and a dispersion element for receiving an output light from the laser medium", our search has found the common matter is disclosed in either one of the following documents and therefore is not clearly novel. Document 1: JP 2-307285 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 20 December, 1990(20.12.90), Full text; Figs. 1 to 10 (family none). (Continued to extra sheet)
of any additional fee.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7  Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7  Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7  Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
where the tree were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1, 2, 7
·	nark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
	<u> </u>

International application No.

PCT/JP03/15742

### Continuation of Box No. II of continuation of first sheet(1)

Document 2: JP 5-283785 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 29 October, 1993 (29.10.93), full text; Figs. 1 to 11 (family none).

Document 3: JP 6-104520 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 15 April, 1994 (15.04.94), Par. Nos. [0013] through [0015], Fig. 2 (family none).

Document 4: JP 11-214803 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 06 August, 1999 (06.08.99), Par. No. [0041]; Fig. 3 (family none).

Document 5: WO 01/18922 A1 (CYMER, INC.) 15 March, 2001 (15.03.01), full text; Figs. 1, 2, 6, 9 to 11 & JP 2001-168440 A.

Document 6: WO 01/59889 A1 (CYMER, INC.) 16 August, 2001 (16.08.01), full text; Figs. 10, 12 to 14 & JP 2001-267673 A.

Document 7: JP 2001-332793 A (Komatsu Ltd.), 30 November, 2001 (30.11.01), Par. No. [0021]; Fig. 1. (Family: none)

Consequently the common matter is not a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, since it makes no contribution over the prior art.

Therefore, there exists no special technical feature common to all the claims 1-13.

Accordingly, it is clear that claims 1-13 do not fulfill the requirement of unity of invention.

The above inventions are classified as:

- (1) Claim 1 relates to the above common matter described in either one of the documents 1-7. Claim 2 and claim 7 referring to claim 2 relate to a laser device, or the above common matter, in which an output light from a laser medium enters the mirror of an adaptive optics, a light reflected off the mirror of the adaptive optics enters a grating, and a diffractive light from the grating is reflected off the mirror of the adaptive optics to enter a mirror having a specified transparency, and in this respect, claim 2 and claim 7 referring to claim 2 constitute a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.
- (2) Claims 3, 6, and claims 7 and 8, 9, 12 referring to claim 3 or 6, and claim 13 referring to either one of claims 8, 9, 12 relate to a laser device, or the above common matter, in which an output light from a laser medium enters a grating, a diffractive light from the grating enters a mirror of an adaptive optics, and a light reflected off the mirror of the adaptive optics is diffracted by the grating to be allowed to reciprocate within a laser resonator, thereby effecting laser oscillation, and in this respect, claims 3, 6, and claims 7 and 8, 9, 12 referring to claim 3 or 6, and claim 13 referring to either one of claims 8, 9, 12 constitute a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.
- (3) Claim 4, and claims 7 and 10 referring to claim 4, and claim 13 referring to claims 10 relate to a laser device, or the above common matter, in which an output light from a laser medium enters a prism, a light spectrally separated by the prism enters the mirror of an adaptive optics, and a light reflected off the mirror of the adaptive optics is allowed to reciprocate within a laser resonator, thereby effecting laser oscillation, and in this respect, claim 4, and claims 7 and 10 referring to claim 4, and claim 13 referring to claims 10 constitute a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

International application No.
PCT/JP03/15742

# Continuation of Box No. II of continuation of first sheet(1)

(4) Claims 5, 11 and claim 13 referring to claim 11 relate to a laser device, or the above common matter, in which an output light from a laser medium enters the mirror of a first adaptive optics, a light reflected off the mirror of the first adaptive optics enters a double refraction filter, a light passed through the double refraction filter enters the mirror of a second adaptive optics, and a light reflected off the mirror of a second adaptive optics is allowed to reciprocate within a laser resonator, thereby effecting laser oscillation, and in this respect, Claims 5, 11 and claim 13 referring to claim 11 constitute a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

Accordingly, the number of inventions in this international application is four.